

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

ELECTRONIC

03/05/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/561,924	12/22/2005	Yuko Tsusaka	2005_1977A	3060		
52349 WENDEROTT	7590 03/05/201 H. LIND & PONACK I	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Stre	et, N.W.	INGVOLDSTAD, BENNETT				
Suite 400 East Washington, I	OC 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Washington, 150 20000 1000			2427			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
10/561,924	TSUSAKA ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Bennett Ingvoldstad	2427					

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SUK (b) MOVENTHS from the making data of this communication after SUK (b) MOVENTHS from the making data of this communication of the sum of the su					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2009</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-5,9-18,23,24 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5, 9-18, 23, and 26-30</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					

9) <u></u> The	spe	cific	ati	ion	is	objected	to	by	the Examiner.	

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

otice

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No/e /Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/561,924

Art Unit: 2427

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Amendment

A telephone call was made to attorney Andrew Dunlap on 4 February 2010 and a message was left concerning a potential Examiner's Amendment to result in allowance of the claims. However, the call was not returned and a new rejection was necessitated.

Allowable Subject Matter

<u>Claims 2-5, 9-18, 23, and 26-30 are allowed</u>. The subject matter of the claims is allowable as indicated in the previous action mailed 15 June 2009.

The previously indicated allowability of claim 24 is withdrawn in view of the new relection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 24 sets forth a "computer-readable recording medium." However, the specification as originally filed does not explicitly define the computer-readable recording medium; it only provides examples "such as" various described media (para. 0186). The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is obliged to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent

Application/Control Number: 10/561,924

Art Unit: 2427

with the specification during proceedings before the USPTO. See In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (during patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow). The broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim drawn to a computer-readable recording medium (also called machine readable medium and other such variations) typically covers forms of non-transitory tangible media and transitory propagating signals per se in view of the ordinary and customary meaning of computer-readable media, particularly when the specification is absent an explicit definition or is silent. See MPEP 2111.01. When the broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim covers a signal per se, the claim must be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as covering non-statutory subject matter. See In re Nuijten, 500 F.3d 1346, 1356-57 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (transitory embodiments are not directed to statutory subject matter) and Interim Examination Instructions for Evaluating Subject Matter Eligibility Under 35 U.S.C. § 101, Aug. 24, 2009; p. 2.

To overcome this rejection, the examiner recommends amending the claim to require that the medium is a "non-transitory" medium, thus precluding the broadest reasonable interpretation from covering a signal claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bennett Ingvoldstad whose telephone number is (571) 270-3431. The examiner can normally be reached on M–F 9–5 EST.

Art Unit: 2427

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on (571) 272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bennett Ingvoldstad/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427